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Abstract

Chlordecone is an organochlorine pesticide, used in the Lesser Antilles from 1972 to 1993 to fight against a banana weevil. That molecule is very persistent in the natural environment and ends up in the sea with runoff waters. The objective of the present study is to evaluate the level of contamination in several trophic groups of marine animals according to their distance from the source of pollution. Samples of suspended matter, macroalgae, herbivorous fishes, detrivorous crustaceans, zooplanktivorous fishes, first and second order of carnivorous fishes and piscivorous fishes have been collected in two sites, located downstream the contaminated sites (Goyave and Petit-Bourg), in three marine habitats (coastal mangroves, seagrass beds located 1.5 km from the shoreline and coral reefs at 3 km offshore). Animals collected in mangroves were the most contaminated (mean concentrations: 193 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in Goyave and 213 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in Petit-Bourg). Samples from seagrass beds presented intermediate concentrations of chlordecone (85 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in Goyave and 107 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in Petit-Bourg). Finally, samples from coral reefs were the less contaminated (71 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in Goyave and 74 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in Petit-Bourg). Reef samples, collected 3 km offshore, were two to three times less contaminated than those collected in mangroves.
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Introduction

Chlordecone is an organochlorine pesticide, used from 1972 to 1993 in the Lesser Antilles, to fight against the banana weevil. The manufacturing of this chemical (commercialized as Kepone® and then as Curlone®) was first done in Virginia and stopped in 1975, when workers from the site of production began to show severe and diverse pathologies associated to their exposure. Due to the sewage system of the factory, the local environment and wildlife was also impacted (Epstein 1978; Huff and Gerstner 1978). In the French West Indies, the use of this chemical however continued until 1993. As a consequence, approximately 6 200 hectares are moderately to heavily polluted by chlordecone in Guadeloupe (Cabidoche and Lesueur Jannoyer 2011), which represents about 25% of the land surface used for agriculture. Chlordecone is a very persistent molecule in the environment with a half-life estimated to 600 years (Cabidoche et al. 2009).

In Guadeloupe, banana plants grow in the southern part of Basse-Terre (one of the two islands of Guadeloupe), which is mountainous and, as a consequence of tropical humid weather, characterized by intense rainfall events. Organochlorine molecules are hydrophobic and adsorbed onto organic matter of the soil. With the erosion of soil particles, desorption phenomena, slow solubilization and infiltration processes, these compounds reach runoff and ground waters that end up directly into the sea (Cattan et al. 2006; Coat et al. 2006; Cabidoche et al. 2009).

Since 2003, several samplings surveys have been conducted in Guadeloupe to evaluate the level of contamination by chlordecone of some species of fishes, crustaceans and mollusks (Bouchon and Lemoine 2003, 2007; Bertrand et al. 2013; Dromard et al. 2016a,b). In 2008, the French food and safety authorities lowered the maximal residue limit (MRL) for chlordecone, authorized for human consumption and commercialization of sea products, from 200 to 20 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) of wet weight and regulated the fishing activities around the island. The
most contaminated marine areas, located downstream of the banana plantations, are now totally closed to fishing activities. The boundary areas are classified as areas of fishing restrictions in which it is not possible to fish a list of targeted species. These rules have been established to protect the health of the local population, especially because seafood represents a large part of the Caribbean food trade.

Studying the evolution of pollution within an inshore-offshore gradient, with different habitats and different species in the trophic food-web, is necessary to understand their dispersion mechanism. Few studies have been conducted to evaluate the dispersion of pesticide in marine environment with an inshore-offshore gradient (Rato et al. 2006; Briand et al. 2004; Dromard et al. 2016b). Organochlorine pollution in marine food-webs has been studied in mangroves (Paez-Osuna et al. 2002; Bayen et al. 2005), seagrass beds (Haynes et al. 2000; Bouchon et al. 2016) and coral reefs (Glynn et al. 1995; Haynes and Johnson 2000) but few works analyzed the dynamics of transfer of an organochlorine contamination in the continuum “mangrove-seagrass beds-coral reefs” (Schaffelke et al. 2005). The degradation of these three interlinked habitats has dramatic ecological and economical consequences (Wilkinson and Salvat 2012).

In the present study, we examined the level of contamination in several trophic groups of marine animals in relation with to their distance from the source of pollution. Concentrations of chlordecone have been measured in three marine habitats: mangroves, seagrass beds and coral reefs.

**Materials and methods**

**Study sites**

Two study sites (Goyave and Petit-Bourg) were chosen in the eastern coast of Basse-Terre in Guadeloupe (Fig.1). These two sites are located in an area of fishing restriction due to their
position downstream the contaminated rivers and agricultural plots. These two sites include three types of marine habitats: coastal mangroves, seagrass beds (located approximately at 1.5 km from the coast) and coral reefs (around 3 km offshore). Depths were comprised between 1 m in mangroves and 5 m in coral reefs ecosystems.

Sample collection and preparation
The sampling survey was carried out from January 2014 to February 2015. For this study, 205 samples were collected, 113 at Goyave and 92 at Petit-Bourg (Tables 1 and 2). Macroalgae, fishes and crustaceans were collected by hand, spearfishing or using nets in seagrass beds and mangroves. Fishes and crustaceans were clustered in trophic groups: detritivorous crustaceans (Crust Det), herbivorous fishes (Fish HB), zooplanktivorous fishes (Fish PK), first order carnivorous fishes (Fish CA1: invertebrate feeders), second order carnivorous fishes (Fish CA2: invertebrates and fish feeders) and piscivorous fishes (Fish PV: fish feeders). Each sample was rinsed, weighted to insure the minimal quantity required for chlordecone analysis (10g wet weight) and frozen (-18°C) until analyses.

For sampling the suspended matter, seawater was collected in the three habitats of each site in plastic drums. Water was then filtered at the laboratory on Whatman GF/F 47mm filters.

Chlordecone extraction and analysis
The laboratory Labocea conducted the quantitative analyses of chlordecone. Molecules of chlordecone were extracted from homogenized samples tissues with a solution of organic solvents (hexane-acetone) and turned into chlordecone hydrate (hydrosoluble) in the presence of soda. The aqueous phase was rinsed with hexane to eliminate fats. Chlordecone was then reassembled in acid conditions, extracted with a solution of hexane and acetone. Concentrations of chlordecone were quantified with liquid chromatography coupled to mass
spectrometry in tandem (UPLC-MS/MS). Chlordecone was extracted following the method recommended by ANSES (“Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail”, French organization in charge of the sanitary security). The lower limit of quantification with this method was 1 µg.kg⁻¹ and the concentrations of chlordecone were expressed in µg.kg⁻¹ (wet weight).

Statistical analysis
Shapiro-Wilk’s tests attested of the non-normality of data distribution. Then, concentrations of chlordecone were compared between types of habitat (mangrove, seagrass beds and coral reefs) with Kruskal-Wallis tests. All statistical analyses were performed using the software package R.

Results
Concentrations of chlordecone according to the habitats
Concentrations of chlordecone measured in this study varied from 1 µg.kg⁻¹ (the limit of quantification) to 1034 µg.kg⁻¹. Concentrations of chlordecone were significantly different between the three types of habitats at Goyave ($X^2=18.9$, $p<0.001$) and at Petit-Bourg ($X^2=5.5$, $p<0.05$), and an inshore-offshore gradient of contamination was found (Fig.2). Samples collected in mangroves were the most contaminated with a mean concentration of chlordecone equal to 193 µg.kg⁻¹ at Goyave and 213 µg.kg⁻¹ at Petit-Bourg. Marine organisms sampled in seagrass beds presented intermediate concentrations of chlordecone (85 µg.kg⁻¹ at Goyave and 107 µg.kg⁻¹ at Petit-Bourg). Finally, vegetal and animal samples from coral reefs were the less contaminated (71 µg.kg⁻¹ at Goyave and 74 µg.kg⁻¹ at Petit-Bourg).

Concentrations of chlordecone according to the trophic group
The level of contamination according to the habitat was studied for the different categories of samples independently. At Goyave, a decreasing gradient of contamination was found for four trophic groups: suspended matter ($X^2=6.0$, $p<0.05$), macroalgae ($X^2=8.9$, $p<0.001$), detritivorous crustaceans ($X^2=6.7$, $p<0.05$), second order carnivorous fishes ($X^2=5.7$, $p<0.05$) and planktivorous fishes ($X^2=4.7$, $p<0.05$, Fig.3). The concentrations of chlordecone were not significantly different according to the habitat for the herbivorous fishes, first order carnivorous fishes and piscivorous fishes. Herbivorous fishes presented low and similar concentrations in the three habitats (42, 19 and 10 µg.kg$^{-1}$ in mangrove, seagrass bed and reef respectively). First order carnivorous and piscivorous fishes were highly contaminated in mangrove (295 and 338 µg.kg$^{-1}$ respectively) but showed similar levels of contamination in seagrass bed and coral reef (114 and 112 µg.kg$^{-1}$ for CA1; 154 and 134 µg.kg$^{-1}$ for PV).

At Petit-Bourg, a decreasing gradient of contamination was found for the suspended matter ($X^2=5.5$, $p<0.05$), macroalgae ($X^2=10.4$, $p<0.001$), first order carnivorous fishes ($X^2=9.7$, $p<0.001$) and piscivorous fishes ($X^2=12.1$, $p<0.001$, Fig.4). No significant difference was found for second order carnivorous fishes. For the latter, concentrations of chlordecone were close between mangrove, seagrass bed and reef: 160, 203 and 160 µg.kg$^{-1}$ respectively.

**Discussion**

The concentrations of chlordecone measured in the present study indicate a high contamination of marine organisms located in the coastal marine habitats in Guadeloupe. A decreasing inshore-offshore gradient of contamination by chlordecone was found at both sites. Samples collected in mangroves, located along the shore, were the most contaminated and presented the highest concentrations of chlordecone measured in this study. Samples from seagrass beds showed intermediate concentrations while samples from coral reefs were the
less contaminated. Concentrations of chlordecone in organisms were two to three times higher in mangroves than in coal reefs.

In previous studies on chlordecone pollution in the James River (Virginia), mean concentrations of chlordecone reached 4800 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) in zooplankton and 1700 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) for the zooplanktivorous white perch, *Morone americana* (Nichols 1990; Luellen et al. 2006). In Guadeloupe, the highest concentration measured was 1034 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) for *Pomadasys corvinaeformis*, which is a first order carnivorous fish (CA1), and the concentration of chlordecone in zooplankton averaged 20 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) at Petit Bourg and 6 µg.kg\(^{-1}\) at Goyave. In comparison, the level of contamination of marine organisms in Guadeloupe appears low. However, in coral reefs, located approximately at 3 km offshore, concentrations of chlordecone measured were still three times higher than the maximal residue limit authorized for human consumption and commercialization of sea products (20 µg.kg\(^{-1}\)). These results justify the interdiction of fishing on the continental shelf located on the eastern coast of Basse-Terre due to high levels of contamination by chlordecone.

In Florida, Glynn et al. (1995) studied the dispersion of marine fauna contamination by pesticides at three sites distributed on a 5 km distance from the coast and found no spatial variation of the level of pollution between the sites. In other studies, the dispersion of organochlorine pollutants was generally demonstrated over larger distances. Rato et al. (2006) studied the dispersion of a pesticide over 25 km of the continental shelf in Portugal. In the south of New Caledonia, a decreasing gradient of pollution was also found from the coast to the reef barrier on a 45 km distance (Briand et al. 2014). In Guadeloupe, the width of the continental shelf in front of Petit Bourg and Goyave is narrow (around 5 km) and exposed to eastern winds and swell, which prevents the dispersion of the pollutants seaward. Indeed, pollution is concentrated on this small area.
In the present study, the gradient of contamination was analyzed for different trophic groups of marine organisms. The majority of the studied trophic groups showed a decreasing gradient of the contamination from the coast seaward. However, some trophic groups presented a different pattern. In Goyave, the gradient of contamination was not observed for the first order carnivorous fishes (CA1: invertebrates feeders) and piscivorous fishes (Table 1). These species were highly contaminated in mangrove but showed similar levels of contamination in seagrass bed and coral reef habitats. This could result from the mobility of these species between seagrass beds and coral reefs (for example: *Sphyraena barracuda*). The absence of gradient for some trophic groups can also be explained by the fact that a same trophic group can be constituted by different species in the three habitats. Moreover, the lack of samples in some habitat could lead to a bias in the comparison (for example there is a single fish species of CA1 represented in the reef habitat). Still in Goyave, herbivorous fishes presented a low and similar level of contamination in the three habitats (Table 1). That result could be partially explained by theirs feeding patterns, as they consume macroalgae that were faintly contaminated. At Petit Bourg, the gradient of contamination was not significant for the second order carnivorous fishes  (CA2: invertebrates and fish feeders, Table 2). The movements of some of these species across the different habitats (for example: *Lutjanus apodus* and *L. griseus*) could explain the similar level of contamination between the three habitats. These movements can be carried out for dietary purposes or during post-settlement migrations (Chapman and Kramer 2000; Cocheret de la Morinière et al. 2002).

To conclude, considering all the data combined, this study evidences a decreasing gradient of the contamination by chlordecone from the coast to the coral reefs 3 km away from the source of pollution. This spatial variation in chlordecone concentration suggests that uptake from the water column is a significant source of contamination. Uptake through the trophic food web *via* bioaccumulation is another potential source of contamination, but this hypothesis requires
further investigation. Future research will evaluate the relative contribution of uptake from the water column vs. the food web.
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Figures captions

**Fig. 1** Location of Guadeloupe in the Caribbean (a), location of the two study sites (b) and location of the three habitats (M: mangroves, S: seagrass beds and C: reefs) in each study site.

**Fig. 2** Mean concentrations of chlordecone (all species included) in µg kg\(^{-1}\) (± SE) measured at Goyave and Petit-Bourg in mangroves, seagrass beds and coral reefs.

**Fig. 3** Mean concentrations of chlordecone by trophic groups (in µg kg\(^{-1}\) ± SE) measured at Goyave in mangroves, seagrass beds and coral reefs. SM: suspended matter, Crust Det: detritivorous crustaceans, Fish HB: herbivorous fishes, Fish PK: planktivorous fishes, Fish CA1: carnivorous fishes 1, Fish CA2: carnivorous fishes 2 and Fish PV: piscivorous fishes.

**Fig. 4** Mean concentrations of chlordecone by trophic groups (in µg kg\(^{-1}\) ± SE) measured at Petit-Bourg in mangroves, seagrass beds and coral reefs. SM: suspended matter, Fish CA1: carnivorous fishes 1, Fish CA2: carnivorous fishes 2 and Fish PV: piscivorous fishes.
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